1 2 3 4 5 6 7	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney ANTONIO J. PATACA Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401 Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000 Facsimile: (559) 497-4099 Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
8	IN THE LINITED ST	ΓATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	LASTERIVDIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:21-CR-00127-DAD-BAM	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE MOTION BRIEFING SCHEDULE	
13	v.	MOTION BRIEFING SCHEDULE	
14	MARIO ANTONIO SALCIDO,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, the United States, by and through its counsel of record, and the defendants, by and		
18	through their counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
19	STIPULATION		
20	1. The defendant filed a motion to suppress on July 6, 2022. Docket No. 26. By previous		
21	order, the government's response is due August 1, 2022, with any reply from the defendant due on		
22	August 22, 2022 and a hearing on the motions on September 6, 2022.		
23	2. Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant,		
24	by and through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate the motion briefing schedule be		
25	continued and set as follows:		
26	Government's opposition due:	August 29, 2022	
27	Defense replies due:	September 19, 2022	
28	Hearing on motions	October 24, 2022	

Case 1:21-cr-00127-DAD-BAM Document 28 Filed 08/01/22 Page 2 of 4

3. 1 The parties also agreed that the status conference currently set for September 14, 2022, be 2 continued until November 9, 2022. 3 4. The parties agree that time within which trial must commence under the Speedy Trial 4 Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161 et seq., shall be excluded on the following bases: 5 a. Counsel for defendant requires additional time to review discovery, consult with his client, conduct necessary investigation, conduct settlement negotiations, and draft the reply to the 6 7 government's opposition. Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant a continuance would deny 8 him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The government does not object to the continuance. Accordingly, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the 10 interest of the public and the defendants in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial 11 Act. 12 b. The period of delay resulting from the filing of the motion to suppress, from the 13 filing of the motion through the conclusion of the hearing on, or other prompt disposition of, such 14 motion, is excluded in computing the time within which trial must commence pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 15 3161(h)(1)(D). 16 c. Further, the parties stipulate that, pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 17 3161 (h)(1)(D), (h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (iv), time shall be excluded in the interest of justice from 18 September 14, 2022 up to November 9, 2022, to permit review of discovery, investigation, drafting and 19 filing of motions and hearing on the motions, settlement negotiations and preparation for trial. 20 d. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions 21 of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which 22 a trial must commence. 23 /// 24 /// 25 26

///

27

28

Case 1:21-cr-00127-DAD-BAM Document 28 Filed 08/01/22 Page 3 of 4

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2		
3	Data I. A4 1 2022	
4	Dated: August 1, 2022	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney
5		/o/ ANTONIO I DATACA
6		/s/ ANTONIO J. PATACA ANTONIO J. PATACA
7		Assistant United States Attorney
8	Data di Assassat 1 2022	/o/TIMOTHY D. HENNIEGGY
9	Dated: August 1, 2022	/s/ TIMOTHY P. HENNESSY TIMOTHY P. HENNESSY
10		Counsel for Defendant MARIO ANTONIO SALCIDO
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case 1:21-cr-00127-DAD-BAM Document 28 Filed 08/01/22 Page 4 of 4

1	<u>ORDER</u>		
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that the status conference is continued from September 14, 2022, to November		
3	9, 2022, at 1:00 p.m. before Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe. Time is excluded pursuant to 3161		
4	(h)(1)(D), (h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (iv). The motion briefing schedule be continued and set as follows:		
5	Government's opposition due: August 29, 2022		
6	Defense replies due: September 19, 2022		
7	Hearing on motions October 24, 2022, at 9:00 am		
8	before District Judge Dale A. Drozd.		
9			
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
11			
12	Dated: August 1, 2022 /s/Barbara A. McAuliffe UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
13	OTHER STITLS MITGISTIATIE VERGE		
14			
15			
16			
17 18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			